

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,337	07/06/2001	Jean-Luc Bonifas	PHFR 000073	4942	
24737 7	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	ELLECTUAL PROPER	KIM, KEVIN			
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	l MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2634		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/900,337		BONIFAS, JEAN-LUC				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin Y Kim		2634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ntion appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAN unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. lays, a reply within the statutor ory period will apply and will e, by statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>06 July 2001</u> .			4			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)		ı-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3-7 and 11-16 is/are objected to.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		•				
10)🖂	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be l	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th The oath or declaration is objected to b	•	. .		` '			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been in cuments have been in the priority document of Bureau (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage			
•								
Attachmen	• •							
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/7/0, 12/17/011</u> .	O/SB/08) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/900,337

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- I STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96I), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821I), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Application/Control Number: 09/900,337

Art Unit: 2634

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weerackody et al (US 5,671,156) in view of Li et al (US 6,504,836).

Consider claims 1 and 9. Referring to Fig. 5E, Weerackody et al discloses a transmitter and a method (55B) comprising;

a means/step for generating frames of primary digital data (60),

an attribution means/step (61) that attributes a priority (Type IA, Type IA, Type II, etc.) to each of the frames, and

a protection means/step (62,78A,78, etc.) of a forward error correction (FEC) type that adds redundancy data packets, where the redundancy is a function of the level of the priority. See col.8, lines 443-46 describing a CRC-16 encoder that appends a 16-bit error detection code for Type I, and col.8, lines 56-58 describing a less powerful FEC encoding.

The forward error corrected data are delivered over a communication channel (65).

But Weerackody et al fails to teach "the quantity of the redundancy data" to be a function of "the error rate of the communication channel." Li et al teaches adapting a redundancy of the forward error control depending on the error rate of the transmission medium for optimizing the efficiency of the transmission system. See col. 8, lines 30-38. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the redundancy bits in the forward error correction encoder (62,78A,78, etc.) of Weerackody et al as a function of the

Application/Control Number: 09/900,337 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

error rate of the transmission channel in order to optimize the efficiency of the transmission system, as taught by Li et al.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, calling for redundancy data being proportionally numerous to the priority level and to the error rate of the channel. Weerackbody et al teaches stronger error correction for a certain type of data that for other type as explained above, indicating more redundancy data for a higher priority. Also Li et al teaches that for a communication line with a lower error rate, a redundancy is reduced, thereby leading to an inference that for a communication line with a higher error rate, a redundancy is increased. See col. 8, lines 33-35.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weerackody et al (US 5,671,156) in view of Li et al (US 6,504,836) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kawai (US 6,760,360).

Weerackody et al in combination with Li et al disclose all the claimed subject matter claimed, as explained above, except for a "telephone device" comprising the transmitter. Kawai teaches a telephone that is capable of transmitting and receiving JPEG data in addition to conventional audio. See Fig. 1, col. 1, lines 50-63. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to equip a telephone device with the transmitter of Weekacbody et al, which is designed to transmit JPEG images and modified by Li et al for the purpose of providing a transmission capability of images in addition to audio, as taught by Kawai.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/900,337 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

5. Claims 3-7, 11-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8AM -- 5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kvk

CHIEH M. FAN

Chel Mi 7_